UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

SHANNON PEREZ AND	§
HAROLD DUTTON, JR.	§
	§
Plaintiffs	§
	§
STATE OF TEXAS; RICK PERRY, In His	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:11-CV-0360
Official Capacity as Governor of the State of	§
Texas; DAVID DEWHURST, In His	§
Official Capacity as Lieutenant Governor of	§
the State of Texas, and JOE STRAUS, In His	§
Official Capacity as Speaker of the Texas	§
House of Representatives,	§
HOPE ANDRADE, in Her Official Capacity	§
as Secretary of State of the State of Texas	§
	§
Defendants	§

PLAINTIFFS' ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COME NOW, Shannon Perez and Harold Dutton, Jr. ("Plaintiffs") and file their Original Complaint and would show the court as follows:

I. PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Shannon Perez is a citizen and registered voter who resides and is domiciled in Bexar County, Texas.
- 2. Plaintiff Harold Dutton, Jr. is a citizen and registered voter who resides and is domiciled in Harris County, Texas and is a member of the Texas Legislature representing the 142nd Legislative District.

- 3. Defendants are officials of the State of Texas thereof who have duties and responsibilities under the laws of the state to redistrict congressional and state legislative districts in Texas following the release of the decennial census.
- 4. Defendant Rick Perry is the Governor of the State of Texas and, under Article IV, Section I, of the Constitution of the State of Texas, is the chief executive officer of the State of Texas.
- 5. Defendant David Dewhurst is the Lieutenant Governor of Texas. Under Article IV, Section 16, of the Texas Constitution he is the President of the Texas Senate.
- 6. Defendant Joe Straus is the Speaker of the Texas House of Representatives and is the presiding officer over the Texas House of Representatives.
- 7. Defendant Hope Andrade is the Secretary of State for the state of Texas and is the state's chief election officer.

II JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Plaintiffs' complaint arises under the Unites States Constitution and federal statutes.
- 9. This court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(a)(3) and (4), and 1357; and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988.
- 10. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
- 11. Plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 12. Plaintiffs request convening of a three-judge court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2284.

III STATEMENT OF CLAIM

13. With the publication of the 2010 United States Census, Texas officials have begun the process of redistricting. Because of the substantial growth of the state's population, there must be

2

significant redrawing of the state's legislative and congressional districts. Texas for example has been allocated four additional seats in the United States Congress.

- 14. There are dramatic population disparities among the various electoral districts in the State. In the Texas Senate, the districts range from 25% overpopulation to 20% underpopulation. The Texas House of Representatives has several districts that are overpopulated by more than 50% and somewhat similar disparities infect the seats of the State Board of Education. There is no possibility of conducting constitutionally adequate elections in the State's current electoral districts, and the Court should declare the State's existing electoral districts to be unconstitutional.
- 15. In past redistricting cycles, the State has proceeded on the assumption that in reapportionment of the Texas House and Senate, there need be no good faith effort to achieve population equality. Rather the State officials have accepted as a goal achieving a deviation of 10%. In today's modern computer driven redistricting, there are no barriers to achieving minimal deviation and targeting a 10% deviation is constitutionally deficient. Accordingly, the Court should declare that the constitutional mandate is a good faith effort to achieve population equality subject only to implementation of valid neutral state policies, such as in the case of the Texas House of Representatives' preservation of county lines where possible.
- 16. Furthermore, based on actions of the Texas Legislature to date, it is apparent that redistricting is proceeding on a misunderstanding of the law with respect to the treatment of the state's prison population. Under Texas law, Texas Election Code Section 1.015, inmates may not become a resident of the county of their incarceration. Despite this clear command of settled Texas law, redistricting is proceeding on the assumption that the prison population is properly included as residents of the county of their incarceration. One result of this misapplication is to

drastically overstate the population of some Texas counties and to understate the population of urban counties. This results in, among other things, excessive population deviation in Texas House of Representatives districts in violation of the 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

17. In addition, based on actions of the Texas House of Representatives to date, it is apparent that the teachings of *Georgia v. Larios*, 542 US 947 (2004) are being ignored. The Texas House has recently adopted a redistricting plan which is blatantly a political gerrymander and contains utterly unjustified population deviations between districts in violation of the 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

IV. RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:

- A. That this court assume jurisdiction and request the convening of a three-judge court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 2284.
- B. Declare the current plans for election of the Texas Senate, the Texas House of Representatives and State Board of Education to be unconstitutional and enjoin their use in any future elections;
- C. Declare the law with respect to the appropriate allocation of the state's prison population in the redistricting process, and further declare the law with respect to the requirements of one person-one and the obligation of the state to make a good faith effort to achieve population equality;
- D. If need be, adopt an interim electoral plan for 2012 elections for the offices of the Texas Senate, Texas House of Representatives and the State Board of Education;
- E. Award Plaintiffs a reasonable attorney's fees and costs; and

F. Grant such other relief as may be necessary and proper as the needs of justice may require.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID RICHARDS State Bar No. 16846000

Richards, Rodriguez & Skeith LLP 816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1200

Austin, Texas 78701 Tel (512) 476-0005 Fax (512) 476-1513

RICHARD E. GRAY, III State Bar No. 08328300 Gray & Becker, P.C. 900 West Avenue Austin, Texas 78701

Tel: (512) 482-0061 Fax: (512) 482-0924

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the eith docket affect. (SEE)	Nativoctions on the Keve	KSE OF THE FORM.)							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SHANNON PEREZ and HAROLD DUTTON, JR.				DEFENDANTS RICK PERRY (Governor of TX), DAVID DEWHURST (LT. Go of TX), JOE STRAUS (Speaker of TX House of Rep), HOPE					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff BEXAR				ANDRADE (Secretary of State of TX), and STATE OF TEXAS					
				County of Residence		a Beremaant	Travis		
1)	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(SES)			•	LAINTIFF CASES	-		
					D CONDEM! INVOLVED,	VATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATI	ON OF TE	HE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name DAVID RICHARDS, Richards				Attorneys (If Known) Office of the Attorn	ney Gener	al, PO Box 125	548, Austin, ⁻	TX 787 [.]	11
Austin, TX 78701; 512-470 9 <u>00 West Ave. Austin, TX</u>		RAY, III, Gray & B	secker,	(512) 463-2100					
II. BASIS OF JURISI		n One Box Only)	ш ст	TIZENSHIP OF F	DINCID	AT DADTIES	Diagona "V" in (Day 6	Di-i
II. DADID OF UURDI	PICTION (Flace all X II	ii One Box Only)	1	For Diversity Cases Only)	KIII Z	ALIAKIIES	and One Box f		
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☐ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government N			·	P	TF DEF			PTF	DEF
		Not a Party)	Citizen	Citizen of This State		Incorporated or Pri of Business In This		□ 4	□ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citizen	of Another State	12 🗇 2	Incorporated and F	Principal Place	 5	 5
Defendant	•	p of Parties in Item III)				of Business In A			
	(mulcate Chizenshi)	p of t mucs in ficin iti)		•	13 🗇 3	Foreign Nation	1 6 1 6		5 6
IV NATIDE OF CUI	Tr on		Fore	ign Country					
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Or	William Commence Works Survey Continues Contin	l roi	REETTURE/PENALTRY	RAY	NKRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	res -
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		Agriculture		eal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State R		
☐ 120 Marine		362 Personal Injury		Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 App		☐ 400 State R		mioni
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractic		Drug Related Seizure		SC 157	430 Banks a	and Bankir	ng
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury ·		of Property 21 USC 881			450 Commo		
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment		Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona		Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	PROPE ☐ 820 Copy	☐ 460 Deports ☐ 470 Rackets		ood and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product		Airline Regs.	□ 830 Pater			t Organizat	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		Occupational	□ 840 Trad		☐ 480 Consur		
Student Loans	340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER		Safety/Health			490 Cable/S		
(Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	 345 Marine Product Liability 	370 Other Fraud371 Truth in Lending	□ 690	Other LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	810 Selective 850 Securit		
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal		Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA		Exchan		outties/
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage		Act		k Lung (923)	☐ 875 Custom		nge
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 385 Property Damage		Labor/Mgmt, Relations		C/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC		
195 Contract Product Liability196 Franchise	Injury	Product Liability		Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSII ☐ 865 RSI		☐ 890 Other S ☐ 891 Agricul		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		Railway Labor Act		AL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Econor		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	X 441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacan	ite 🗇 790	Other Labor Litigation		s (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Enviro		
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence		Empl. Ret. Inc.		efendant)	□ 894 Energy		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 530 General		Security Act		—Third Party SC 7609	☐ 895 Freedo	n of Infori	mation
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	200	30 7009	☐ 900Appeal	of Fee Det	termination
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot	ther 🗇 462	Naturalization Application	1			Equal Acce	
	Employment	550 Civil Rights		Habeas Corpus -			to Justi		
	446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other	555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee Other Immigration			950 Constit		01
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights			Actions			Danie D	uiutos	
							<u></u>	····	
	an "X" in One Box Only)	Domandod form	7 4 D.L.	totodor 🗖 🐔 Trans	ferred from	Fig. 7 National States		Appeal to Judge fro	District
	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reope	ened anoth	er district ify)	6 Multidistr Litigation		Magistrat Judgment	te
				Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes u	ınless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ION Brief description of ca	ı - 42 USC 1983 ar	1988						
	Challenge to redi								
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTIO	N DE	MAND S	(CHECK YES only	if demanded in	complai	nt.
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.		0.00			URY DEMAND:		Ø No	
								- 110	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE Judge Or	rlando L.	Garcia	DOCKI	ET NUMBER S	A11CA0361		
DATE		8 GNATURE OF A	TTORNEV	DE BECORD					
		DIGINAL OKE OF A	TIONNEY	i rycory .			•	•	
05/09/2011		(h&li	W =		_				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				- "		PARTICIS			
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG, JU	DGE		